## Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery •

December 2008

Anticipation and Management of the Emergency Airway in the Cosmetic Patient during Office-Based Surgery *Sir:* 

W ith the number of cosmetic surgical procedures

continuing to rise and a growing percentage being performed in the office-based setting, scrutiny by regulators and state licensing agencies has also increased.<sup>1</sup> The U.S. News and World Report stated that

the office-based setting is associated with a 10-fold increase

in the risk for serious injury or death.<sup>2</sup> Recognizing safety as a top priority, in 2002 the American Society of Plastic Surgeons Board of Directors convened

the Task Force on Patient Safety in Office-Based Surgery Facilities. One aspect of surgical safety in which

the surgeon typically has little participation until faced

with a catastrophe is anesthesia induction and airway intubation.

Historically, three pathways have been considered with

difficult airways: (1) bag-mask ventilation and reversal of

sedatives and paralyzing agents, (2) extratracheal ventilation

using a laryngeal mask airway or esophagotracheal combination tube, and (3) an emergent surgical airway.

Although each of these options carries value, they all have

potential pitfalls and shortcomings.

Bag-mask ventilation and sedation reversal is not possible in many instances, and its endpoint is an aborted procedure. The laryngeal mask airway may not

perform well with an obstructing tumor or with heavy secretions. The esophagotracheal combination tube should not be used in patients with an intact gag reflex,

children (patients younger than 16 years), or patients with proximal esophageal disease.<sup>3</sup> Surgical access through the neck may be impossible because of obstructing

neck tumors or anatomical abnormalities

such as severe cervical flexion.4 Postoperative ramifications

of stomal care, speech and swallow rehabilitation, psychological stress, and an inconspicuous scar must also be considered.

As an alternative, we introduce a fourth option, the anterior commissure laryngoscope. Once familiar with

its application, the surgeon usually can advance the laryngoscope at least to the posterior glottis and use it as a guide for intubation.<sup>4</sup> The laryngoscope has a straight enclosed barrel that prevents the tongue from being obscured and allows easy suctioning. Its shape and design have better leverage capabilities than standard

blades. The distal end is flared anteriorly, with a recessed light permitting a good view of the larynx (Fig.



Fig. 1. The anterior commissure laryngoscope.

The laryngoscope is passed from the right corner of the mouth, displacing the tongue leftward, and advanced

toward the larynx between the tongue and the tonsil. Once vocal cords are visualized, a lubricated gum

bougie or an adult Cook airway catheter (Cook Medical,

Inc., Bloomington, Ind.) is advanced through the enclosed

barrel (Fig. 2). The bougie or catheter is manually held in position while the laryngoscope is removed. The

endotracheal tube can then be advanced into position using the Seldinger technique, analogous to advancing a

central venous catheter over a guidewire.



**Fig. 2.** The bougie is advanced through the laryngoscope.

Management of the emergent airway allows little room for error. Because there are instances when traditional

management of emergent airways will not be successful, it is important that the surgeon know how to

use an anterior commissure laryngoscope to avoid catastrophe.

It is the duty of any surgeon to ensure patient safety, and appropriate accreditation, safe anesthesia protocols, and proper patient selection constitute the basis for safe and efficacious office-based surgery.<sup>6</sup> DOI: 10.1097/PRS.0b013e31818d2372

## J. Garrett Harper, M.D.

Department of General Surgery

## Achih H. Chen, M.D.

Department of Otolaryngology Division of Facial Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery **Christine G. Gourin, M.D.** Department of Otolaryngology Division of Head and Neck Surgery Medical College of Georgia Augusta, Ga. Correspondence to Dr. Harper Department of General Surgery Medical College of Georgia 1467 Harper Street, HB-5040 Augusta, Ga. 30912

## REFERENCES

 Arens, J. F. Anesthesia for office-based surgery: Are we paying too high a price for access and convenience? *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 75: 225, 2000.
Fields, H. Health hazards of office-based surgery. *U.S. News& World Report* 2003. P. 54.
Bigenzahn, W., Pesan, B., and Frass, M. Emergency ventilation using the Combitube in cases of difficult intubation. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 248: 129, 1991.

4. Klauser, R., Roggla, G., Pidlich, J., et al. Massive upper airway

bleeding after thrombolytic therapy: Successful airway management

with the Combitube. Ann. Intern. Med. 21: 431, 1992.

5. Davies, R., and Balachandran, S. Anterior commissure laryngoscope.

Anaesthesia 58: 721, 2003.

6. Bitar, G., Mullis, W., Jacobs, W., et al. Safety and efficacy of

office-based surgery with monitored anesthesia care/sedation in 4778 consecutive plastic surgery procedures. *Plast. Reconstr.* 

Surg. 111: 150, 2003.

Volume 122, Number 6 • Viewpoints **231e**